

Statistical Analysis for Packed Milk Buying Behaviour

Yogesh Kumar Sharma^{1*}, Sachin Kumar Mangla², Pravin P. Patil³

 ^{1,3}Department of Mechanical Engineering Graphic Era Deemed to be University, Dehradun, India
 ² Plymouth Business School (PBS) University of Plymouth, United Kingdom
 Emails: ¹yogeshsharmame355@gmail.com, ²sachinmangl@gmail.com, ³deanresearch4geu@gmail.com
 *Corresponding author

(Received September 15, 2018; Accepted October 3, 2018)

Abstract

Packed milk of different brands and configuration are available in the market. Normally buyer faces the problem during the selection of suitable brand according to his/her requirement. In the present study, IBM 14.5 Statistics Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software is used to analyse the buyer behaviour towards the purchasing packed milk based on twelve parameters. Dataset is created using IBM 14.5 SPSS software and the data were collected through questionnaire from employees around Uttarakhand. Present study uses the correlation method to ascertain the influence of parameters on buyers packed milk purchasing behaviour.

Keywords- Data Analysis, SPSS, Dairy Industry, Decision Making, Chi-square Test, Packed Milk, Toned, Double Toned.

1. Introduction

Today's global market is growing rapidly every year with high pace. The organizations mainly focused on their product quality due to the increasing and growing consumer requirements (Sharma et al., 2018; Sharma et al., 2019). One of the important food product of daily life is milk and the behaviour of buying that is mainly dependent on the quality. The process in which individuals or groups study selection, purchasing, disposal of the product, their services, ideas and experiences to satisfy the desired needs comes under the study of consumer behaviour (Gupta and Shringare, 2018 and Sharma et al., 2018). The marketer is influencing the consumer as how the buyer can use the product and services more than why and what the consumer buys. Consumer behavior is a difficult task, strongly influenced by economic, social, cultural and psychological factors (Kilic et al., 2009). Wide range of information about the varieties of products, many choices and options available in the market also influence the buyer's decision (Manan et al., 2017).

So, the decision making is different among the individuals due to various internal and external factors like family roles, perception, group and peer influence, attitude and motivation. Apart from the above factors, the behaviour also depends upon the various factors like manufacturing



processes and packaging procedure. So, packaging is one of the major technique to enhance the quality and protect the product (Raheem et al., 2014; Deo and Hosee, 2017; Uniyal, et al., 2018). Proper packaging increases the trust among suppliers and the buyers for the safe delivery of the product. To add more shelf life to the food products, innovative packaging techniques are required. Packaging material must satisfy the buyer needs such as (Sharma et al., 2018).

- To protect and preserve
- Appropriate distribution pattern
- Easy to open, stock and dispose
- Proper information through labelling
- Economically reliable

In the present era, packaging has become a sales elevation tool for the companies. Packaging quality, color, material and other features attract the buying behaviour of consumers (Bousbia et al., 2017). Packaging is a complete package that becomes a final selling scheme, which inspires impulse buying behaviour (Deliya et al., 2012). For the analyzing purpose of buyer's behavior of packed milk, SPSS (Statistics Package for the Social Sciences) software is used (Hawthorne et al., 2018). SPSS is a worldwide used software for statistical analysis in social science. It is mainly used by practitioners, government health researchers, survey companies, academicians, marketing organizations, data miners for the market analysis (Psomas et al., 2015; Rivas et al., 2017; Ghebremariam, et al., 2018).

In the present work, a questionnaire-based survey and its corresponding analysis-based questions for specific parameters in packed milk buying was carried out. The aim of the current study is to identify the kind of similarities or differences in responses when questions related to milk buying is asked in a written form. It also targets to identify the strength of response from consumers based on the questionnaire.

2. Research Methodology

A planned questionnaire was sent to 100 respondents, but 75 responded it. The study included different age groups, different occupations. There were 50 valid participants who responded 12 questions of the structured questionnaire and it was used for data collection. Based on the survey done in, Dehradun District of Uttarakhand in which 50 respondents were agreed to give their feedback. After collecting the data, it is processed, and coded to assign numbers to each of the replied questions. Coding is necessary to convert the raw data into useful numerical data which may be tabulated and counted.

Table 1 explains the data about different variables for storing information collected from 50 respondents used in SPSS. Present table is called dataset. Here each questions reply is signified in numeric format, example for brands variables 8 responses (Amul, Paras, Mother Dairy, MMilk, Aanchal, Go Milk, Ananda and Others) are coded using numbers 1-8 respectively. Likewise, remaining variables are coded. Next, performing the coding scheme and putting the



response of 50 respondents, the variable view and data view of this information is presented in the form of dataset, which shown in the Figure 1, Figure 2 and Figure 3 respectively

Image Male Image Image 2 Age 25-35 years 1 3 Profession 35-45 years 3 45-55 years 3 3 3 Profession 40-55 years 3 4 Qualification Entropeneur 2 0/thers 3 3 4 Qualification Higher Secondary 1 0/thergraduate 3 3 1 2 Others 3 3 4 Qualification Higher Secondary 1 0/thergraduate 3 3 1 2 2 years 1 1 2 2 years 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <td< th=""><th>Ques. No.</th><th>Description</th><th>Responses</th><th>Coding</th></td<>	Ques. No.	Description	Responses	Coding
Image: Project Constraint of the secondary of the s	1	Sex	Male	1
2 Age 2:35 years 1 3 Profession 45:55 years 3 4 Qualification Entrepreneur 2 4 Qualification Higher Secondary 1 5 Experience 3 3 6 Fartes 3 7 Experience 1-2 years 1 6 Brands Advite 3 6 Brands Advite 3 7 Quality 1 1 6 Brands Annul 1 7 Quality Low 1 6 Brands Combits 8 7 Quality Low 1 11 Low 1 1 11 Inpactful factors 1 1 10 Method of Awareness 1 1 10 Method of Awareness Friends and relatives 1 10 Method of Awareness Friends and relatives <td></td> <td></td> <td>Female</td> <td>2</td>			Female	2
3 3	2	Age	25-35 years	1
After and set of the second set of the set of the second set of the second set of the set			35-45 years	2
Above 55 years 4 3 Profession Worker 1 Entrepreneur 2 0 0 2 4 Qualification Higher Secondary 1 0 5 Experience 1-2 1 0			45-55 years	3
3 Profession Worker 1 Entrepreneur 2 0 Others 3 4 Qualification Higher Secondary 1 Senior Secondary 2 Undergraduate & above 4 5 Experience 1-2 years 1 -2:3 years 2 -3:4 years 3 -4:5 years 4 6 Brands Annul -4:5 years 4 -3:4 years 3 -4:5 years 4 -4:5 years 4 -4:5 years 2 Mother Dairy 3 -4:5 years 2 Mother Dairy 3 6 Brands 2 -4:5 years 2 Mother Dairy 3 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1			Above 55 years	4
Entrepreneur 2 00hers 3 4 Qualification Higher Secondary 1 Senior Secondary 2 0 Undergraduate &above 4 5 Experience 1-2 years 1 2-3 years 2 3-4 years 3 6 Brands Amul 1 7 Brands Amul 1 Mother Dairy 3 3 3 7 Quality Low 1 7 Quality Low 1 High 2 3 3 7 Quality Low 1 11 Inpactiful factors 1 1 9 Packaging Rigid containers 1 10 Method of Awareness Firitonia and relatives 1 11 Impactful factors Proper weight 1 11 Impactful factors Proper weight 1 12 Satisfaction <	3	Profession	Worker	1
4 Qualification Others 3 4 Qualification Higher Secondary 2 Undergraduate 3 0 Postgraduate & above 4 3 5 Experience 1/2 years 1 3/4 years 3 3 3 6 Brands Amul 1 6 Brands Amul 1 6 Brands Amul 1 7 Quality 3 3 6 Brands 6 3 7 Quality 1 1 1 Milik 4 4 7 Quality 1 1 1 Myes Toned 1 1 Myes Toned 1 1 Sterve packaging Flexioned 3 8 Types Toned 1 1 Flexioned 3 3 10 Method of Awareness			Entrepreneur	2
4 Qualification Higher Secondary 1 Senior Secondary 2 Undergraduate 3 Postgraduate & Cabove 4 5 Experience 1-2 years 1 2-3 years 2 3-4 years 3 6 Brands Arnul 1 Paras 2 3-4 years 2 Mother Dairy 3 3 3 Mother Dairy 1 5 5 Proverson 8 7 3 3 Proverson 1 1 1<			Others	3
Senior Secondary 2 Undergraduate 3 Postgraduate & & & & 4 5 Experience 1-2 years 1 2-3 years 2 3-4 3 -3-4 years 3 4 3 6 Brands Amul 1 6 Brands Amul 1 Paras 2 3 6 Mother Dairy 3 Milik 4 4 Annchal 5 Go Milk 6 Annchal 7 Quality Low 1 High 2 Excellent 3 8 Types Toned 1 Flavored 3 1 1 Packaging Flavored 3 3 7 Quality Low 1 1 10 Excellent 3 3 1 11 Flavored 3 1	4	Qualification	Higher Secondary	1
Undergraduate 3 Postgraduate & above 4 Postgraduate & above 4 1 -2-3 years 1 -2-3 years 2 -3-4 years 3 -4-5 years 4 6 Brands Annul 1 -4-5 years 2 Moder Dairy 3 Moduler Dairy 3 Mother Dairy 3 Mother Dairy 3 Milk 4 Annul 5 Go Milk 6 Annul 5 Go Milk 6 Manda 7 Quality Low Unoters 8 Types Toned Bobset borded 2 Flavored 3 Flavored 3 Flavored 3 Flavored 3 Flexible packaging 5 Flexible packaging 5 Flexible packaging 5			Senior Secondary	2
Postgraduate & above 4 5 Experience 1-2 years 1 2-3 years 2 3 6 Brands Anul 1 6 Brands Anul 4 6 Anul 4 6 Anul 4 6 Anul 4 6 Anul 4 7 Quality Low 1 10 Types Toned 1 9 Packaging Rigid containers 1 <td></td> <td></td> <td>Undergraduate</td> <td>3</td>			Undergraduate	3
5 Experience 1-2 years 1 2-3 years 2 3-4 years 3 6 Brands Anul 1 4-5 years 4 6 Brands Anul 1 Mother Dairy 3 3 Mother Dairy 1 3 Mother S 8 3 Mother S 8 3 Mother S 8 3 Flavored 3 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>Postgraduate & above</td><td>4</td></t<>			Postgraduate & above	4
Image: second	5	Experience	1-2 years	1
6 Brands 3-4 years 3 6 Brands Amul 1 Paras 2 Mother Dairy 3 Mother Dairy 4 Mother Dairy 1 High 2 Flavored 3 Skimmed 5 Skimmed 5 Stere packaging 3 Flexible packaging 3 Blow-mothing 3 Blow-mothing 3			2-3 years	2
6 Brands Anul 1 6 Brands Anul 1 Paras 2 Mother Dairy 3 MMilk 4 Ananda 5 Go Milk 6 Ananda 7 Quality Low High 2 Brands 7 Paras 3 Paras 3 Brands 7 Paras 3 Brands 3 Faracter 3 Brands 5 Paras 1 Proper 8 Proper weight 1 Impactful factors 1 Proper packaging 3 Proper packaging 3 I1 Impactful factors Proper packaging 3 Proper packaging 3 I1 Satisfaction Yes 1 <td></td> <td></td> <td>3-4 years</td> <td>3</td>			3-4 years	3
6 Brands Anul 1 Paras 2 Moher Dairy 3 MMilk 4 Aanchal 5 Go Milk 6 Ananda 7 Quality 100 (hers) 8 7 Quality 100 (hers) 8 8 Types Toned 1 8 Types Toned 1 9 Packaging Rigid containers 1 9 Packaging Rigid containers 1 10 Method of Awareness Flexible packaging 5 11 Impactful factors 1 1 11 Impactful factors 1 1 12 Satisfaction Yes 1			4-5 years	4
Image: Paras 2 Mother Dairy 3 Mother Dairy 3 MMHik 4 Aanchal 5 Go Milk 6 Ananchal 7 Quality Low 1 High 2 Bartistic Constraints 3 Paras 7 Quality Low 1 High 2 Bartistic Constraints 3 Paras Toned 1 Double toned 2 Flautored 3 Hig	6	Brands	Amul	1
Mother Dairy 3 MMilk 4 Anchal 5 Go Milk 6 Ananda 7 Quality 10 High 2 Excellent 3 8 Types Pouble toned 2 Flavored 3 9 Packaging 1 Flavored 3 9 Packaging 1 Flexible packaging 2 Blow-molding 3 10 Method of Awareness Friends and relatives 11 Impactful factors Proper weight 1 11 Impactful factors Proper weight 1 12 Satisfaction Yes 1			Paras	2
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c } & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$			Mother Dairy	3
Aanchal 5 Go Milk 6 Ananda 7 Others 8 7 Quality Low 1 High 2 Excellent 3 8 Types Toned 1 8 Types Toned 1 9 Packaging Flavored 3 9 Packaging Rigid containers 1 9 Packaging Flexible packaging 2 10 Method of Awareness Friends and relatives 1 11 Impactful factors Proper weight 1 11 Impactful factors Proper packaging 3 12 Satisfaction Yes 1			MMilk	4
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c } & Go Mik & 6 \\ \hline & Ananda & 7 \\ \hline & Others & 8 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & &$			Aanchal	5
Ananda7QualityOthers87QualityLow11Wigh28TypesToned18TypesToned29PackagingFluf Cream49PackagingRigid containers110Method of AwarenessFriends and relatives111Impactful factorsFriedwind wertisement312SatisfactionYes112SatisfactionYes1			Go Milk	6
Others87QualityLow11High28TypesToned18TypesToned19PackagingRigid containers19PackagingRigid containers110Method of AwarenessFilevise packaging510Method of AwarenessFilevision advertisement311Impactful factorsProper weight112SatisfactionYes112SatisfactionYes1			Ananda	7
7QualityLow11High28TypesToned38TypesToned29PackagingFul Cream40Skimmed59PackagingFlexible packaging29PackagingFlexible packaging210Method of AwarenessFriends and relatives111Impactful factorsProper weight112SatisfactionYes312SatisfactionYes1			Others	8
High28TypesToned38TypesToned1Double toned21Flavored339PackagingRigid containers19PackagingRigid containers19PackagingRigid containers110Method of AwarenessFriends and relatives311Impactful factorsScial Media212SatisfactionYes112SatisfactionYes1	7	Quality	Low	1
Excellent38TypesToned18TypesToned19PackagingFlavored39PackagingRigid containers110Method of AwarenessFleavee packaging210Method of AwarenessFriends and relatives111Impactful factors1112SatisfactionYes112SatisfactionYes1			High	2
8TypesToned1BNew SectionSectionSectionSectionSection9PackagingRigid containers1SectionSection9PackagingFlexible packagingSectionSectionSectionSection10Method of AwarenessFriends and relatives1Section			Excellent	3
Double toned2Flavored3Full Cream4Skimmed59PackagingRigid containers1Flexible packaging2Blow-molding3High density polyethylene4Sleeve packaging510Method of AwarenessFriends and relatives11Impactful factorsProper weight11Impactful factorsProper packaging12SatisfactionYes12SatisfactionYes	8	Types	Toned	1
Flavored3Full Cream4Skimmed59PackagingRigid containers19Packaging26Blow-molding310Method of AwarenessFriends and relatives110Method of AwarenessFriends and relatives111Impactful factorsProper weight112SatisfactionYes112SatisfactionYes1			Double toned	2
Full Cream4Skimmed59PackagingRigid containers19Packaging26Blow-molding39High density polyethylene410Method of AwarenessFriends and relatives110Method of AwarenessFriends and relatives111Impactful factorsProper weight112SatisfactionYes112SatisfactionYes1			Flavored	3
Image: space s			Full Cream	4
9PackagingRigid containers19Packaging26Flexible packaging28Blow-molding310Method of AwarenessFriends and relatives110Method of AwarenessFriends and relatives111Impactful factors0412Satisfaction212SatisfactionYes1			Skimmed	5
Flexible packaging2Blow-molding3High density polyethylene4Sleeve packaging510Method of AwarenessFriends and relatives10Method of AwarenessFriends and relatives11Impactful factorsProper weight11Impactful factorsProper weight12SatisfactionYes11No2	9	Packaging	Rigid containers	1
Blow-molding3High density polyethylene4Sleeve packaging510Method of AwarenessFriends and relatives1Social Media2Television advertisement3Others411Impactful factorsProper weight112SatisfactionYes1No2			Flexible packaging	2
High density polyethylene4Sleeve packaging510Method of AwarenessFriends and relatives1Social Media22Television advertisement3Others411Impactful factorsProper weight1Certification2Proper packaging312SatisfactionYes1No2			Blow-molding	3
Image: space s			High density polyethylene	4
10 Method of Awareness Friends and relatives 1 Social Media 2 Television advertisement 3 Others 4 11 Impactful factors Proper weight 1 Certification 2 Proper packaging 3 12 Satisfaction Yes 1 No 2			Sleeve packaging	5
Social Media 2 Television advertisement 3 Others 4 11 Impactful factors Proper weight 1 Certification 2 Proper packaging 3 12 Satisfaction Yes 1 No 2	10	Method of Awareness	Friends and relatives	1
Television advertisement 3 Others 4 11 Impactful factors Proper weight 1 Certification 2 Proper packaging 3 12 Satisfaction Yes No 2			Social Media	2
Impact ful factorsOthers411Impact ful factorsProper weight1Certification2Proper packaging312SatisfactionYes1No2			Television advertisement	3
Impactful factors Proper weight 1 Certification 2 Proper packaging 3 12 Satisfaction Yes No 2			Others	4
Certification2Proper packaging312SatisfactionYesNo2	11	Impactful factors	Proper weight	1
Proper packaging312SatisfactionYesNo2			Certification	2
12SatisfactionYes1No2			Proper packaging	3
No 2	12	Satisfaction	Yes	1
			No	2

Table 1. Different variables used for identifying buyer behaviour in SPSS



<u>F</u> ile <u>E</u> dit	<u>V</u> iew <u>D</u> ata <u>T</u> ransform	<u>A</u> nalyze <u>G</u> r	aphs <u>U</u> tilif	ties E <u>x</u> ten	sions <u>W</u> indow <u>H</u> e	elp					
🔁 l:	1 🗍 🔲 🗠 🤆	ช 📑	▙	R	h 📑						
	Name	Туре	Width	Decimals	Label	Values	Missing	Columns	Align	Measure	Role
1	ID	Numeric	8	0	ID	None	None	8	■ Right	🖋 Scale	🔪 Input
2	Sex	Numeric	8	0	Sex	{1, male}	None	8	■ Right	🖋 Scale	🔪 Input
3	Age	Numeric	8	0	Age	{1, 25-35 years}	None	8	■ Right	🖋 Scale	🔪 Input
4	Profession	Numeric	8	0	Profession	{1, worker}	None	8	■ Right	💑 Nominal	🔪 Input
5	Qualification	Numeric	8	0	Qualification	{1, Higher Secondary}	None	8	≣ Right	💑 Nominal	🔪 Input
6	Experience	Numeric	8	0	Experience	{1, 1-2 years}	None	8	■ Right	💑 Nominal	🔪 Input
7	Brands	Numeric	8	0	Brands	{1, Amul}	None	8	■ Right	💑 Nominal	🔪 Input
8	Quality	Numeric	8	0	Quality	{1, low}	None	8	■ Right	💑 Nominal	🔪 Input
9	Types	Numeric	8	0	Types	{1, Toned}	None	8	/ ■ Right	💑 Nominal	🔪 Input
10	Packaging	Numeric	8	0	Packaging	{1, Rigid containers}	None	8	≣ Right	💑 Nominal	🔪 Input
11	Methodsofawareness	Numeric	8	0	Methodsofawareness	{1, Friends and relative	None	8	■ Right	💑 Nominal	🔪 Input
12	ImpactfulFactors	Numeric	8	0	ImpactfulFactors	{1, Proper weight}	None	8	■ Right	💑 Nominal	🔪 Input
13	Satisfaction	Numeric	8	0	Satisfaction	{1, Yes}	None	8	■ Right	💑 Nominal	🔪 Input
14											

🍓 *yogesh.sav [DataSet1] - IBM SPSS Statistics Data Editor



🍓 *yogesh1.s	av [DataSet1] - I	BM SPSS Sta	atistics Dat	a Editor												-	σ×
<u>F</u> ile <u>E</u> dit	<u>V</u> iew <u>D</u> ata	<u>T</u> ransfo	rm <u>A</u> na	ilyze <u>G</u> raph	s <u>U</u> tilitie	s E <u>x</u> ter	isions <u>W</u> indov	ı <u>H</u> elp									
🔁 H						ч	1 =	A 	0]							
1:																Visible: 13 d	f 13 Variables
	🖋 ID	🖋 Sex	🔗 Age	🗞 Professi	on 💰 Qu	alification	🗞 Experience	💑 Brands	뤙 Quality	🗞 Types	💑 Packaging	Methodsofa wareness	Rectors	🗞 Satisfaction	var	var	var
1	1	1	1		1	4	1	1	1 3	3 2	1	1	3	1			
2	2	2	1	I	1	4	2	3	3 2	2 3	2	1	2	1			
3	3	1	1	I	1	3	3	ī	2	2 1	3	3	3	1			
4	4	1	2	2	1	3	2	Ę	5 2	2 5	5	4	1	1			
5	5	1	2	2	1	2	2	6	6 2	2 4	4	2	2	1			
6	6	1	2	2	1	4	2	1	1 3	8 1	2	3	3	1			
7	7	2	1 3	3	1	4	2	4	L 3	3 3	3	1	1	1			
8	8	2	3	3	1	4	. 3	3	8 1	1 2	4	3	3	1			
9	9	1	2	2	1	3	3	1	1 3	8 5	1	2	2	1			
10	10	1	2	2	1	2	: 1	5	5 2	2 4	2	2	3	1			
11	11	2	1	I	1	1	1	6	6 2	2 2	5	3	2	1			
12	12	1	1		1	3	1	ī	2	2 3	3	1	1	1			
13	13	2	1	I	1	4	1	8	8 3	8 5	4	1	1	1			
14	14	1	2	2	1	3	3	8	8 3	3 4	1	1	2	1			
15	15	1	2	2	1	2	: 3	6	5 2	2 2	5	3	3	1			
16	16	1	1	I	1	4	2	1	1 3	8 5	2	4	3	1			
17	17	2	1		1	4	2	1	1 3	8 1	3	2	1	1			
18	18	1	2	2	1	4	2	3	8 3	3 3	5	1	2	1			
19	19	2	! 1	I	1	3	3	Ę	5 2	2 3	4	3	2	1			
20	20	1	3	3	1	2	1	1	1	4	2	2	2	1			
21	21	1	5	5	1	4	2	1	1 3	8 5	1	1	3	1			
22	22	1	3	3	1	2	3	3	3 1	2	2	3	3	1			
	4																

Figure 2. Data view



File Edit	ile <u>Edit V</u> iew <u>D</u> ata <u>Transform A</u> nalyze <u>G</u> raphs <u>U</u> tilities Extensions <u>Wi</u> ndow <u>H</u> elp												
		, ,	2				(
5:													
	🖋 ID	🔗 Sex	🔗 Age	🗞 Profession	🗞 Qualification	뤚 Experience	뤚 Brands	뤙 Quality	💑 Types	💑 Packaging	Nethodsofa wareness	Rectors	💑 Satisfaction
1	1	male	25-35	worker	Postgraduate	1-2 years	Amul	Excellent	Double	Rigid contai	Friends and	Proper pac	Yes
2	2	Female	25-35	worker	Postgraduate	2-3 years	Mother D	High	Flavored	Flexible pac	Friends and	Certification	Yes
3	3	male	25-35	worker	Undergraduate	3-4 years	Ananda	High	Toned	Blow-molding	Television ad	Proper pac	Yes
4	4	male	35-45	worker	Undergraduate	2-3 years	Aanchal	High	Skimmed	Sleeve pack	Others	Proper wei	Yes
5	5	male	35-45	worker	Senior Secon	2-3 years	Go Milk	High	Full Cre	High density	Social Media	Certification	Yes
6	6	male	35-45	worker	Postgraduate	2-3 years	Amul	Excellent	Toned	Flexible pac	Television ad	Proper pac	Yes
7	7	Female	45-55	worker	Postgraduate	2-3 years	MMilk	Excellent	Flavored	Blow-molding	Friends and	Proper wei	Yes
8	8	Female	45-55	worker	Postgraduate	3-4 years	Mother D	low	Double	High density	Television ad	Proper pac	Yes
9	9	male	35-45	worker	Undergraduate	3-4 years	Amul	Excellent	Skimmed	Rigid contai	Social Media	Certification	Yes
10	10	male	35-45	worker	Senior Secon	1-2 years	Aanchal	High	Full Cre	Flexible pac	Social Media	Proper pac	Yes
11	11	Female	25-35	worker	Higher Secon	1-2 years	Go Milk	High	Double	Sleeve pack	Television ad	Certification	Yes
12	12	male	25-35	worker	Undergraduate	1-2 years	Ananda	High	Flavored	Blow-molding	Friends and	Proper wei	Yes
13	13	Female	25-35	worker	Postgraduate	1-2 years	Others	Excellent	Skimmed	High density	Friends and	Proper wei	Yes
14	14	male	35-45	worker	Undergraduate	3-4 years	Others	Excellent	Full Cre	Rigid contai	Friends and	Certification	Yes
15	15	male	35-45	worker	Senior Secon	3-4 years	Go Milk	High	Double	Sleeve pack	Television ad	Proper pac	Yes
16	16	male	25-35	worker	Postgraduate	2-3 years	Amul	Excellent	Skimmed	Flexible pac	Others	Proper pac	Yes
17	17	Female	25-35	worker	Postgraduate	2-3 years	Amul	Excellent	Toned	Blow-molding	Social Media	Proper wei	Yes
18	18	male	35-45	worker	Postgraduate	2-3 years	Mother D	Excellent	Flavored	Sleeve pack	Friends and	Certification	Yes
19	19	Female	25-35	worker	Undergraduate	3-4 years	Aanchal	High	Flavored	High density	Television ad	Certification	Yes
20	20	male	45-55	worker	Senior Secon	1-2 years	Ananda	low	Full Cre	Flexible pac	Social Media	Certification	Yes
21	21	male	5	worker	Postgraduate	2-3 years	Amul	Excellent	Skimmed	Rigid contai	Friends and	Proper pac	Yes
22	22	male	45-55	worker	Senior Secon	3-4 years	Mother D	low	Double	Flexible pac	Television ad	Proper pac	Yes
ſ	1												-

🛤 vogesh1.sav (DataSet1) - IBM SPSS Statistics Data Editor

Figure 3. Data view

After performing all the operations in the dataset, the result of descriptive statics of brand and its purpose of buying is calculated using SPSS software and shown in the Table 2.

	I	Frequencies					
		Statistics					
		Brands	Types				
Ν	Valid	22	22				
Γ	Missing	1	1				
Mean		4.18	3.14				
Median		4.50	3.00				
Mode		1	2ª				
Std. Deviation	n	2.500	1.390				
Variance		6.251	1.933				
Range		7	4				
Minimum		1	1				
Maximum	Maximum 8 5						
a. Multiple modes exist. The smalles	st value is shown	-					

Table 2. Milk brand statistics result

Performing data analysis manually, first we must count all the responses from the respondents and then get the frequency distribution of each table questions. But with the help of SPSS software it is very easy to get frequency distribution of any variable. In SPSS first create the



			Frequency T	able			
			Brands				
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulat	ive Percent	
Valid	Amul	6	26.1	27.3	2	27.3	
	Mother Dairy	4	17.4	18.2	4	15.5	
	MMilk	1	4.3	4.5	5	50.0	
	Aanchal	3	13.0	13.6	6	53.6	
Go Milk		3	13.0	13.6	7	77.3	
	Ananda	3	13.0	13.6	90.9		
	Others 2		8.7	9.1	1	0.00	
	Total 2		95.7	100.0			
Missing System 1		1	4.3				
]	Fotal	23	100.0				
			Types				
			Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	
Va	lid	Toned	3	13.0	13.6	13.6	
		Double toned	5	21.7	22.7	36.4	
		Flavored	5	21.7	22.7	59.1	
Full Crea		Full Cream	4	17.4	18.2	77.3	
Ski		Skimmed	5	21.7	22.7	100.0	
		Total	22	95.7	100.0		
Miss	sing	System	1	4.3			
	Total		23	100.0			

Table 3. Frequency distribution of milk brand and types

Here for the studying purpose of data analysis it is necessary to set one hypothesis and that hypothesis is explained below

D0: Brand of Milk and its Types (i.e. purpose of buying milk) are independent.

D1: Brand of Milk and its Types (i.e. purpose of buying milk) are dependent.

Test applied are:

- Chi square test
- Cross table of brand of milk and its types

3. Results

Chi-square test is performed, and the calculated value is 26.583 with degree of freedom is 24, are accepting the substitute hypothesis and rejecting the null hypothesis i.e. brand of milk and its types (i.e. purpose of buying milk) are dependent is true. Table 4 explains the cross tabulation between brands of milk and its types and Table 5 explains the chi-square test. Figure 4 explains the bar chart between Brands and Types.



Table 4. Cross tabulation of brand of milk and its types

Crosstabs						
		Case	Processing Sum	mary		
			8	Cases		
	V	alid	Mi	ssing		Total
	N	Percent	N	Percent	Ν	Percent
Brands * Types	22	95.7%	1	4.3%	23	100.0%
		Brande *	· Types Crosstal	hulation		

			branus * Types	Crosstabulation			
Count							
				Types			Total
		Toned	Double Toned	Flavored	Full Cream	Skimmed	
Brands	Amul	2	1	0	0	3	6
	Mother Dairy	0	2	2	0	0	4
	MMilk	0	0	1	0	0	1
	Aanchal	0	0	1	1	1	3
	Go Milk	0	2	0	1	0	3
	Ananda	1	0	1	1	0	3
	Others	0	0	0	1	1	2
	Total	3	5	5	4	5	22

Table 5. Result of Chi-Square test

Chi-Squar	Chi-Square Tests										
	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2- sided)								
Pearson Chi-Square	26.583ª	24	.324								
Likelihood Ratio	32.584	24	.113								
Linear-by-Linear Association	.282	1	.596								
N of Valid Cases	22										
a 35 cells (100.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum ex	pected count is 1	4									



Figure 4. Brands versus types

Journal of Graphic Era University Vol. 7, Issue 1, 18-28, 2019 ISSN: 0975-1416 (Print), 2456-4281 (Online)



Now, setting another hypothesis 2

D0: Types and packaging of milk (i.e. purpose of buying milk) are independent.

D1: Types and packaging of milk (i.e. purpose of buying milk) are dependent.

Test applied are:

- Chi square test
- Cross table of types and packaging of milk

4. Result

Chi-square test is performed, and the calculated value is 14.972 with degree of freedom is 16, are accepting the null hypothesis and rejecting the alternative hypothesis i.e. types and packaging of milk (i.e. purpose of buying milk) are independent is true. Table 6 explains the cross tabulation between types and packaging of milk; Table 7 explains the chi-square test. Figure 5 explains the bar chart between types and packaging.

Table 6.	Cross	tabulation	between	types a	nd	packaging	of	milk
I ubic of	01000	abulation	between	ij pes u	ina j	pachaging	U 1	

Crosstabs										
Case Processing Summary										
			(Cases						
	Va	ılid	Mis	sing	r	Fotal				
	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent				
Types * Packaging	22	95.7%	1	4.3%	23	100.0%				

	Types * Packaging Crosstabulation											
Count												
	Packaging Total											
		Rigid containers	Flexible packaging	Blow-molding	High density polyethylene	Sleeve packaging						
Types	Toned	0	1	2	0	0	3					
	Double	1	1	0	1	2	5					
	Toned											
	Flavored	0	1	2	1	1	5					
	Full Cream	1	2	0	1	0	4					
	Skimmed	2	1	0	1	1	5					
,	Total 4 6 4 4 22											

Table 7. Result of Chi-Square test

Chi-Square Tests					
	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-		
			sided)		
Pearson Chi-Square	14.972 ^a	16	.527		
Likelihood Ratio	18.041	16	.321		
Linear-by-Linear Association	.560	1	.454		
N of Valid Cases	22				
a. 25 cells (100.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .55.					







Figure 5. Types versus packaging

5. Method

To determine the relationship between the variables, a point biserial correlation was carried out. Correlation between sex and types was carried out to find out their significance. There was a negative correlation between sex and types of milk purchase, which was statistically significant (rpb = -0.21, n= 22, p= 0.343). Table 8, explains the correlations between sex and types of milk.

Correlations					
	Correlations	Sex	Types		
Sex	Sex Pearson Correlation		212		
	Sig. (2-tailed)		343		
N		22	22		
Types	Types Pearson Correlation		1		
51	Sig. (2-tailed)				
	N		22		
Correlations					
		Sex	Impactful Factors		
Sex	Pearson Correlation	1	411		
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.057		
Ν		22	22		
Impactful Factors	Pearson Correlation	411	1		
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.057			
Ν		22	22		
	Correlations	Duranda	Towner		
Duranda			1 ypes		
Brands	Pearson Correlation	1	.116		
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.608		
	N C 1.	22	22		
Types	Pearson Correlation	.116	1		
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.608			
	N		22		
Correlations					
	Correlations	Brands	Profession		
Brands	Pearson Correlation	1	a		
Dianas	Sig. (2-tailed)				
-	N	22	22		
Profession	Pearson Correlation	a	a		
	Sig. (2-tailed)				
ľ	N	22	22		
a. Cannot be computed becau	se at least one of the variables is constant.	1			

Table 8. Explains the correlations between sex and types of milk.



6. Conclusion

In cities and villages both among various parameters availability is important factor followed by consumer purchase milk for health which is then followed by Quality. Therefore, Amul should make available milk in rural area and should focus it as a healthy choice for family. Brand of milk and its types (i.e. purpose of buying milk) are dependent is true. Types and packaging of milk (i.e. purpose of buying milk) are independent is true (Dhanya et al., 2018). Buyers purchases packed branded milk according to their choice and purpose (Edwards et al., 2017). Sex and types are negatively corelated while brands and types are positively corelated. Buyers thinks that Amul brand is the best brand while MMilk is the worst brand in packed milk. In future aspects this research can be further carried out to create analytical model using neural networks like ANN and ANP to find out the milk buying behaviour of the buyers.

Acknowledgment

The authors acknowledge and express the gratitude for the support of the research facilities and funds provided by the Department of Mechanical, Graphic Era (Deemed to be) University, Dehradun, India.

References

Bousbia, A., Boudalia, S., Chelia, S., Oudaifia, K., Amari, H., Benidir, M., & Hamzaoui, S. (2017). Analysis of factors affecting consumer behavior of dairy products in Algeria: A case study from the region of Guelma. Internastional Journal of Agriculture Research, 12(2), 93-101.

Deliya, M. M. M., & Parmar, M. B. J. (2012). Role of packaging on consumer buying behavior- Patan district. Global Journal of Management and Business Research, 12(10), 49-68.

Deo, M., & Hosee, N. (2017). Product packaging innovation and consumer buying behavior in Rwanda. International Journal of Social Science and Humanity, 7(6), 344.

Dhanya. K, & Venkatesa Palanichamy, N. (2018). An overview of consumer buying behavior towards Aroma Milk products in Coimbatore district, Tamil Nadu. International Journal of Advances in Agricultural Science and Technology, 5(7), 109-123

Edwards, C., Walk, A., Baumgartner, N., Chojnacki, M., Covello, A., Evensen, J., & Khan, N. (2017). Relationship between whole grain consumption and selective attention: a behavioral and neuroelectric approach. Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics, 117(9), A93.

Ghebremariam, M. K., Michel, A. L., Nielen, M., Vernooij, J. C. M., & Rutten, V. P. M. G. (2018). Farm-level risk factors associated with bovine tuberculosis in the dairy sector in Eritrea. Transboundary and Emerging Diseases, 65(1), 105-113.

Gupta, P., & Shringare, K. (2018). Analyzing the mobile phone buying behavior using SPSS. AADYA-Journal of Management and Technology, 8(1), 30-37.

Hawthorne, D. L., Neilson, L. J., Macaskill, L. A., Luk, J. M., Horner, E. J., Parks, C. A., & Dworatzek, P. D. (2018). Parental reports of lunch-packing behaviours lack accuracy: reported barriers and facilitators to packing school lunches. Canadian Journal of Dietetic Practice and Research, 79(0), 1-7.

Kilic, O., Akbay, C., & Tiryaki, G. Y. (2009). Factors affecting packed and unpacked fluid milk consumption. Agricultural Economics, 55(11), 557-563.



Manan, A., Shahid, B., Sultan, A., & Ahmed, U. I. (2017). Consumer demand for beverages in Pakistan. Cercetari Agronomice in Moldova, 50(2), 109-120.

Psomas, E. L., & Kafetzopoulos, D. P. (2015). HACCP effectiveness between ISO 22000 certified and non-certified dairy companies. Food Control, 53, 134-139.

Raheem, A. R., Vishnu, P., & Ahmed, A. M. (2014). Impact of product packaging on consumer's buying behavior. European Journal of Scientific Research, 122(2), 125-134.

Rathod, P., Chander, M., & Sharma G, C. (2017). Adoption status of artificial insemination in Indian dairy sector: application of multinomial logit model. Journal of Applied Animal Research, 45(1), 442-446

Rivas, J., Perea, J., Angón, E., Barba, C., Morantes, M., Dios-Palomares, R., & García, A. (2015). Diversity in the dry land mixed system and viability of dairy sheep farming. Italian Journal of Animal Science, 14(2), 3513.

Sharma Y.K., Mangla S.K., Patil P.P. (2019). Analyzing challenges to transportation for successful sustainable food supply chain management implementation in Indian dairy industry. In Information and Communication Technology for Competitive Strategies. Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems, vol 40. (pp. 409-418) Springer, Singapore

Sharma, Y. K., Mangla, S. K., & Patil, P. P. (2019). Risks in sustainable food supply chain management. In Advanced Fuzzy Logic Approaches in Engineering Science (pp. 117-131). IGI Global.

Sharma, Y. K., Mangla, S. K., Patil, P. P., & Uniyal, S. (2018). Sustainable food supply chain management implementation using DEMATEL approach. In Advances in Health and Environment Safety (pp. 115-125). Springer, Singapore.

Sharma, Y. K., Mangla, S. K., Patil, P. P., & Uniyal, S. (2018). Analyzing sustainable food supply chain management challenges in India. In Soft Computing Techniques and Applications in Mechanical Engineering (pp. 162-180). IGI Global.

Sharma, Y. K., Yadav, A. K., Mangla, S. K., & Patil, P. P. (2018). Ranking the success factors to improve safety and security in sustainable food supply chain management using fuzzy AHP. Materials Today: Proceedings, 5(5), 12187-12196.

Uniyal, S., Mangla, S. K., Patil, P. P., & Sharma, Y. K. (2018). Implementation of sustainable consumption and production using DEMATEL. In Advances in Health and Environment Safety (pp. 133-144). Springer, Singapore.